Examiner-Initiated Interview		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Summary	10/809,989	HORNE, DAVID HUGHES
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Anita K. Alanko	1765
All Participants:		Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Anita K. Alanko</u> .		(3)	
(2) K.C. Cornaby.		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 June 2007</u>		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes		nt's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	•		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			•
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIB approved examiner's amendment	ING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Anita K. Hanko			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1765			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Examiner Initiated Into	erview Summary	Paper No. 20070612